



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/950,760	10/15/1997	ANN M. WOLLRATH	06502.0063-0 9769	
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMINER	
JEFFREY A BERKOWITZ			LAO, SUE X	
FINNEGAN HI	ENDERSON FARABOW	GARRETT &		
DUNNER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1300 I ST NW WASHINGTON DC 200053315			2126	28

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			Applicati n N	Applicant(s)		
Offic Action Summary			08/950,760	WOLLRATH ET AL.		
		Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
			S. Lao	2126		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cov r sh t with th correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠	<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 January 2004</u>.</li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ul>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sitio	on of Clai	ms				
4)  Claim(s) 70-106 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 70-84 and 91-106 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 85 and 88 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 86, 87, 89, 90 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)[] ] 10)[] ]	The specifi The drawir Applicant n Replaceme	cation is objected to by the Examiner og(s) filed on is/are: a) access and not request that any objection to the cent drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction of the correction of the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a large to be a large to the Examination is objected to be a large to be a larg	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[/ a)[	Acknowled All b)[ 1. Cer 2. Cer 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: tified copies of the priority documents tified copies of the priority documents ties of the certified copies of the prior lication from the International Bureau ached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Reference of Draftsper	res Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) late	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Art Unit: 2126

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 70-106 are pending. This action is in response to the amendment filed 1/9/2004. Applicant has amended claims 70 and 91 and added claims 103-106.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 85 and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wollrath et al ("Simple Activation for Distributed Objects") in view of Hare et al (EP 0733970A1).

As to claim 85, Wollrath teaches a method of handling an object call for an object (object activation protocol), comprising

receiving a first object call to remotely activate a first object (object invocation),

in response to, determining whether a first object group corresponding to is active (depending on status of the object being active or passive),

if it is determined that the first object group is not active (not already active), activating the first object group (activate all objects of an aggregate object, activate transitive closure of referenced object) and activating the first object within (activate object within an aggregate),

wherein the first object group is a first subset (aggregate object, group/set of objects) of all objects that can be remotely activated (distributed objects). See sections 2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5.

Wollrath does not explicitly teach the first object group is created before activated it. Nevertheless, Hare teaches (co-activation) creating an object group (allocate resources) before activating it (col. 12, lines 10-36). See col. 11, line 17 - col. 12, line 52; col. 15, line 58 - col. 16, line 54. It is noted that allocating resources to an object/group is an integral part of a creation process. Therefore, it would have been obvious to create the first object group before activating it in Wollrath. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Wollrath with

Art Unit: 2126

Hare because it would have reduced redundancy and unnecessary overhead (Hare, col. 3, lines 8-26) desirable during activations (Wollrath, page 3, right col., section 2, 1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> para.s).

As to claim 88, it is covered by claim 85 except that the steps are applied to a second object call, second object, second group, second subset different. The system of Wollrath as modified is a distributed object system including multiple groups/sets of objects and the invocations thereof (Hare, con-activation-1 corresponding to object-1, co-activation-2 corresponding to object-3, col. 12, lines 37-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to perform the steps as recited in claim 85 for the invocation of a second object belonging to a second group/set for the purpose of uniformity. Note discussion of claim 85 for a motivation to combine the teachings.

- 4. Claims 70-84, 91-106 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 86, 87, 89 and 90 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/9/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued, regarding claims 85 and 88, that Hare does not teach creating an object/group because the object/group are already present (remarks, page 15, last para.).

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Hare teaches creating an object/group by allocating resources to the object/group before activation. See col. 12, lines 10-36. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that resource, such as memory, allocation is an integral and an essential part of an object creation process. If applicant's creation differs from the conventional, it has not been brought out by the claim language.

Regarding applicant's argument that the advantages taught by Hare has nothing to do with activating objects as discussed by Wollrath. (remarks, page 16, 1<sup>st</sup> para.).

Art Unit: 2126

The examiner's response is that both Hare and Wollrath are directed to the distributed objects and their invocations. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the teachings of Wollrath with Hare because it would reduce redundancy and unnecessary overhead (Hare, col. 3, lines 8-26), which is desirable during activations in Wollrath (conserving system resources, Wollrath, page 3, right col., section 2, 1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> para.s).

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Guttman et al teaches distributed object systems, including creation (instantiation) and activation. Waldo et al teaches activating objects and object groups (col.s 13-14, 17-18).
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue Lao whose telephone number is (703) 305-9657. A voice mail service is also available at this number. The examiner's supervisor, SPE Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, from 9AM to 5PM. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2126

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Sue Lao Suelao

April 2, 2004